

The Commission for Local Administration in England

# The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter **Mid-Bedfordshire District Council** for the year ended

31 March 2007

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) investigates complaints by members of the public who consider that they have been caused injustice through administrative fault by local authorities and certain other bodies. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

#### Annual Letter 2006/07 - Introduction

The aim of the annual letter is to provide a summary of information on the complaints about your authority that we have received and try to draw any lessons learned about the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements. These might then be fed back into service improvement.

I hope that the letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

There are two attachments which form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

#### **Complaints received**

## Volume

We received 16 complaints during the year, a reduction of six in the number received last year.

## Character

Three complaints were about benefits, three about public finance, two about environmental health and one about commercial matters. It is notable that there was a significant reduction in the number of complaints about planning and building control. In the previous two years we had received 18 and 17 complaints but this year just seven. It is difficult to say why there might be such a reduction but could well indicate improved complaint handling and communication within the Council. In any event, the reduction is to be welcomed.

#### **Decisions on complaints**

## **Reports and local settlements**

We use the term 'local settlement' to describe the outcome of a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Council takes, or agrees to take, some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint and the investigation does not need to be completed. These form a significant proportion of the complaints we determine. When we complete an investigation we must issue a report.

I issued no reports against the Council and only one complaint was settled which concerned the removal of an abandoned vehicle from outside the complainant's home. The Council readily volunteered to amend its procedures and to apologise to the complainant, which my investigator considered to be a satisfactory outcome. I would like to thank the Council for its prompt and positive response to the complaint.

## Other findings

Eighteen complaints were decided during the year. Of these four were outside my jurisdiction for a variety of reasons. Five were premature and one, as I have mentioned, was settled. Of the remainder, in seven I saw no evidence of maladministration and one was withdrawn.

#### Your Council's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

There were five premature complaints which, set against the number of incoming complaints (16), is broadly in line with the national average of 28%. Two complaints were resubmitted and neither

indicated that there are any grounds for concern with the Council's own consideration of complaints. In general, it seems to me that the Council's complaints handling works very well.

## Training in complaint handling

As part of our role to provide advice in good administrative practice, we offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. The feedback from courses that have been delivered over the past two and a half years is very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand. In addition to the generic Good Complaint Handing (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution) we can run open courses for groups of staff from smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your Council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

## Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

We made enquiries on eight complaints and the average time for a response to be received from the Council was 26 days, within our target time of 28 days and maintains the Council's previous excellent performance. I was pleased to welcome your link officer to the seminar I held in Coventry in November. I hope she found the seminar useful.

#### LGO developments

I thought it would be helpful to update you on a project we are implementing to improve the first contact that people have with us as part of our customer focus initiative. We are developing a new Access and Advice Service that will provide a gateway to our services for all complainants and enquirers. It will be mainly telephone-based but will also deal with email, text and letter correspondence. As the project progresses we will keep you informed about developments and expected timescales.

Changes brought about by the Local Government Bill are also expected to impact on the way that we work and again we will keep you informed as relevant.

We have just issued a special report that draws on our experience of dealing with complaints about planning applications for phone masts considered under the prior approval system, which can be highly controversial. We recommend simple measures that councils can adopt to minimise the problems that can occur.

A further special report will be published in July focusing on the difficulties that can be encountered when complaints are received by local authorities about services delivered through a partnership. *Local partnerships and citizen redress* sets out our advice and guidance on how these problems can be overcome by adopting good governance arrangements that include an effective complaints protocol.

#### Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your Council's services.

J R White Local Government Ombudsman

The Oaks No 2 Westwood Way Westwood Business Park Coventry CV4 8JB

## June 2007

Enc: Statistical data Note on interpretation of statistics Leaflet on training courses (with posted copy only)

Complaints received by subject area	Benefits	Other	Planning & building control	Public finance	Total
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	3	3	7	3	16
2005 / 2006	0	1	18	3	22
2004 / 2005	0	0	17	1	18

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

I	Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Premature complaints	Total excl premature	Total
	01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	0	1	0	0	7	1	4	5	13	18
	2005 / 2006	0	1	0	0	10	9	2	3	22	25
	2004 / 2005	0	1	0	0	5	3	3	3	12	15

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

	FIRST ENQUIRIES				
Response times	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond			
01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007	8	26.0			
2005 / 2006	7	25.6			
2004 / 2005	8	21.6			

# Average local authority response times 01/04/2006 to 31/03/2007

Types of authority	<= 28 days	29 - 35 days	> = 36 days
	%	%	%
District Councils	48.9	23.4	27.7
Unitary Authorities	30.4	37.0	32.6
Metropolitan Authorities	38.9	41.7	19.4
County Councils	47.1	32.3	20.6
London Boroughs	39.4	33.3	27.3
National Park Authorities	66.7	33.3	0.0